

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/12/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,892	06/29/2001	Hideaki Ono	50195-261	4949
7	590 06/12/2003			•
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096		EXAMINER		
		•	SHEEHAN	SHEEHAN, JOHN P
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1742	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_ ~;	Application No.	Applicant(s)	9			
Advisory Action	09/893,892	ONO ET AL.				
*	Examin r	Art Unit				
	John P. Sheehan +	1742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspond nce addi	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 05 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	ee MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or the final Office action; or the final Office action; or the final Office action is the final Office action.	ension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) Methey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) Methey present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NC	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: 1,3,4 and 13.	,					
Claim(s) rejected: 5-12						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exam	iner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. Other:		10 800	0			
		John P. Sheehan Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1742	, ,			
Patent and Trademark Office						

Continuation Sh t (PTO-303) 09/89 3.09

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment changes the claims from simple product claims to product by process claims which entails adding process limitations to the claimed product. These new process limitations have never previously appeared in the claims and thus rais new issues including: (1) the issue of new matter, do the newly proposed limitations find support in the specification? (2) Do the new limitations comply with 35 USC 112, 1st and 2nd paragraph? (3) do the product by process limitations distinguish over the prior art? (4) are there references not of record that teach the claimed process?, etc. MPEP 714.13.